UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for

District of Guam

Report for Modifying the Conditions or Term of Supervision with Consent of the Offender

(Probation Form 49, Waiver of Hearings is Attached)

Name of Offender: Andrew Kekoa Quiambao		Case Number: CR 07-00060-001		
Name of Sentencing Judicial Officer:		rable Alex R. Munson		
Date of Original Sentence:	July 1, 2004			
Original Offense:	Conspiracy to Commi	t Arson, in violation o	of 18 U.S.C. § 84	44(n).
Original Sentence:	conditions: not commicontrolled substance; to one drug test within drug tests thereafter; n weapon; cooperate in supervision; participa substance abuse, which defendant has reverted service; pay a \$100 specific	it another federal, state refrain from any unlaw a 15 days of release fro not possess a firearm, d the collection of DNA te in a program appriate in a program may included I to the use of drugs or a ecial assessment fee; an Deleon Guerrero and J	e, or local crime; wful use of a commimprisonment estructive device; comply with the coved by the Utelude testing to alcohol; performed pay \$567,396 ohn Peter Reyes	f supervised release with not unlawfully possess a strolled substance; submit and at least two periodice, or any other dangerous he standard conditions of a S. Probation Office for determine whether the 200 hours of community 54 in restitution joint and s Mendiola. Transfer of
Type of Supervision:	Supervised Release	Date Supervision (Commenced:	December 29, 2006
	PETITIO	NING THE COURT	1	
To extend the ter	m of supervision for	years, for a to	otal term of	years.
X To modify the co	nditions of supervision	as follows:		
shall submi	ant shall refrain from an t to one drug test within ug tests thereafter, no officer.	n 15 days of release fro	om imprisonmen	it and at least two

On September 2, 2005, the Ninth Circuit, in United States v. Stephens, No. 04-50170, addressed 18 U.S.C. § 3583(d) supervised release and 18 U.S.C. § 3563(a)(5) probation mandatory testing conditions which requires that the district court determine the maximum number of mandatory drug tests. The Ninth Circuit held that because the statute requires the district court to determine the maximum number of drug tests under the mandatory condition, transferring this duty to the probation officer was an improper delegation of Article III judicial power. To assist the Court in determining the appropriate number of drug tests, the undersigned officer has evaluated Mr. Quiambao's history of drug use, and the drug detection period of his drug of choice. As marijuana is Mr. Quiambao's current drug of choice and has a normal detection period of four days or less, it is recommended that the maximum number of tests the court order per month be set at eight. This will allow for adequate time intervals between tests which will help facilitate the detection of use. It also provides for enough additional tests so that the pattern of testing will not be predictable to Mr. Quiambao. To address the implications of United States v. Stephens, Ninth Circuit No. 04-50170, it is respectfully requested that the Court modify the defendant's mandatory condition to set the maximum number of tests Mr. Quiambao must submit. It is therefore recommended that the mandatory condition be modified as follows:

"The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, not to exceed eight tests per month, as directed by the probation officer."

Mr. Quiambao completed the year long drug treatment and testing program on January 22, 2008, completed his community service requirement on June 21, 2007, paid his \$100 special assessment fee on January 22, 2005 and submitted to a DNA sample of his blood on August 28, 2006 while in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons. In addition, Mr. Quiambao makes regular payments towards his restitution obligation via garnishment. His balance as of February 22, 2008, remains at \$565,294.72. In addition, he maintains full time employment as a property manager. Furthermore, he submits his monthly supervision reports in a timely manner.

Based on the information above, this Officer respectfully requests that the Court modify the conditions of supervised release, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(2) as outlined above. Attached is Probation Form 49, Waiver of Hearing to Modify Conditions of Probation/Supervised Release or Extend Term of Supervision, with Mr. Quiambao's consent to the modification.

Reviewed by: Respectfully submitted, /s/ JOHN W. SAN NICOLAS II /s/ CARMEN D. O'MALLAN U.S. Probation Officer Specialist U.S. Probation Officer Supervision Unit Leader Date: March 14, 2008 Date: March 14, 2008 THE COURT ORDERS No Action /s/ Frances M. Tydingco-Gatewood The Extension of Supervision as Noted Above. Chief Judge Dated: Mar 20, 2008 The Modification of Conditions as Noted Above. Other Issuance of a: Summons Warrant